# STATIC ANALYSES OF ELASTIC PLATES WITH **VOIDS**

# НІDЕО ТАКАВАТАКЕ

Department of Architecture, Kanazawa Institute of Technology, 7-1 Ogigaoka Nonoichi, Ishikawa 921, Japan

#### (Received 2: April 1990; in revised form 2 September 1990).

Abstract-A general analytical method for plates with arbitrarily-positioned voids is proposed by means of Hamilton's principle. The discontinuous variation of rigidity of the plates due to the voids is expressed continuously by the use of an extended Dirac function, which is defined as a Dirac function existing continuously in a prescribed region. The governing equation for a plate with voids which is composed of an isotropic material is formulated without modifying the rigidity of the plates, as done in the equivalent plate analogy. Static solutions for simply-supported and clamped plates with voids are obtained from the governing equation by means of the Galerkin method. The numerical results obtained from the proposed solutions show good agreement with results obtained from the previous equivalent plate analogy and with results obtained from the finite element method. Also, the exactness of the theory proposed here is established by experiments using acrylic plates.

## **NOTATION**



# **I. INTRODUCTION**

For reasons of lightness and structural efficiency and in order to guarantee enough space for equipment, plates with voids are often used. These are called multi-cell slabs with transverse diaphragms, or voided slabs, or cellular slabs, depending upon the shape and size of the voids used. Most methods for the analysis of plates with voids are based on the equivalent plate analogy. With this analogy, even if a plate with voids is composed of an

## 180 **H. TAKABATAKE**

isotropic material, the equivalent plate becomes an orthotropic plate, because the bending rigidity and torsional rigidity are different in different directions owing to the existence of the voids. A number of authors have proposed rigidity coefficients to enable the determination of overall effects. Crisfield and Twemlow (1971) proposed a full equivalent anisotropic plate solution for cellular structures by means of the finite element method, in which the transverse Poisson effect is included. Elliott and Clark (1982) analyzed a slab with oneway circular voids. Cope *et al.* (1973) analyzed a cellular bridge deck by means of a twodimensional tinite element solution for the equivalent shear-weak slab. For cellular slabs, Holmberg ( 1960), Sawka and Cope (1969) and Elliott (1978) proposed rigidity coefficients. Szilard (1974) and Cope and Clark (1984) summarized previous results for various plates with voids. However. the above-mentioned equivalent approaches have the following faults.

- (l) Since the rigidity of plates with voids is determined independently of the position of the voids. application of the theory is restricted only to plates with many voids of the same cross-section, spaced uniformly. Hence it cannot apply to plates with irregularly-spaced voids and/or with voids of different cross-sections.
- (2) Local variations of stress couples due to the existence of voids cannot be expressed.

On the other hand, although analyses based on the finite element method for plates with voids are effective, much numerical calculation is needed. A general and simple. analytical method usable in both the preliminary and final stages of the design of a plate with voids is desired. However, as mentioned above, a general analytical method for plates with arbitrarily-positioned voids has not been established.

The purpose of this paper is to propose a general method for plates with arbitrarilypositioned voids. The discontinuous variation of rigidity of such plates due to the voids is expressed as a continuous function by means of an extended Dirac function. The extended Dirac function is detined as a Dirac function existing continuously in a prescribed region. For the current problem. the extended Dirac function has a value in the region where voids exist. and replaces the discontinuous variation in the rigidity of the plates due to the voids with a continuous function; it is therefore effective in presenting a general analytical method for plates with arbitrarily-positioned voids. The theory of plates with voids is formulated without modifying the rigidity of the plates, as done in the equivalent plate analogy. The author (Takabatake, 1987, 1988) has demonstrated the effectiveness of the extended Dirac function for bending and torsional analyses of tube systems and for lateral buckling of I beams with web stiffeners and batten plates.

In this paper, the general governing equations for rectangular plates with voids arc proposed by using Hamilton's principle. Then static solutions for simply-supported and clamped plates are presented by means of the Galerkin method. Finally, the exactness of the proposed solutions is established from numerical and experimental results.

## 2. GOVERNING EQUATIONS OF PLATES WITH VOIDS

Consider a rectangular plate with arbitrarily-positioned voids, as shown in Fig. 1.  $\Lambda$ Cartesian coordinate system  $x, y, z$  is employed. Assume that each void is a rectangular parallelepiped whose ridgelines arc parallel to the x- or y-axis and which is symmetrically positioned with respect to the middle plane of the plate, as shown in Fig. 2. The position of the *i*, *j*th void is indicated by the coordinate value  $(x_i, y_j)$  of the midpoint of the void, the widths in the x and y directions of the void are  $b_{x_i,j}$  and  $b_{y_i,j}$ , respectively, and its height is  $h_{i,j}$ . The size and position of each void are arbitrary except for the assumptions mentioned above.

Consider the bending ofisotropic plates to small deformations. and assume the validity of the Kirchhoff Love plate theory for the current problem. Hence the transverse shear deformation is neglected. The assumption used here may be effective for structures like floors. roofs. bridges. etc.. because the height of the voids is relatively small.

Thus, the shape of a plate with voids is adequately defined by describing the geometry of its middle surface, which is a surface that bisects the plate thickness  $h_0$  at each point. The



Fig. I. Coordinates of a rectangular plate with voids.

governing equation of plates with voids is proposed by means of the following Hamilton's principle :

$$
\delta I = \delta \int_{t_2}^{t_1} (T - U - V) dt = 0
$$
 (1)

in which T is the kinetic energy, U is the strain energy, V is the potential energy produced by the external loads, and  $\delta$  is the variational operator taken during the indicated time interval.

The strain energy  $U$  for the current problem is given by

$$
U = \frac{1}{2} \int \int [M_x \kappa_x + M_y \kappa_y + 2M_{xy} \kappa_{yy}] dx dy
$$
 (2)

in which  $\kappa_{xy}$ ,  $\kappa_y$  and  $\kappa_{xy}$  are the curvatures and twist of the deflected middle surface, with  $M_s$ ,  $M_s$  and  $M_{ss}$  the bending and twisting moments per unit width, respectively given by



Fig. 2. Details of a void.

Н. ТАКАВАТАКЕ

$$
M_x = \int \sigma_y z \, dz
$$
  
\n
$$
M_y = \int \sigma_y z \, dz
$$
  
\n
$$
M_{xy} = M_{yx} = \int tz \, dz
$$
\n(3)

in which  $\sigma_x$  and  $\sigma_y$  are the normal stress components,  $\tau( = \sigma_{xy} = \sigma_{yx})$  is the shear stress, and z is measured from the middle surface of the plate. From Szilard (1974), the stresses  $\sigma_x$ ,  $\sigma_y$ and r for isotropic plates can be expressed in terms of the lateral deflections w on the middle surface of the plates:

$$
\sigma_x = -\frac{Ez}{1 - v^2} (w_{xx} + vw_{xy})
$$
\n
$$
\sigma_y = -\frac{Ez}{1 - v^2} (w_{xy} + vw_{xy})
$$
\n
$$
\tau = -2Gzw_{xy}
$$
\n(4)

in which  $E$  is the Young's modulus of the isotropic material,  $G$  is the shear modulus of the isotropic material, and  $\nu$  is Poisson's ratio. The suffixes  $x$  and  $y$  after the commas indicate partial differentiation with respect to x and y, respectively. From eqns  $(3)$  and  $(4)$  the bending moment  $M_x$  may be written as

$$
M_x = -\frac{E}{1 - v^2} (w_{xx} + vw_{xx}) \int z^2 dz.
$$
 (5)

At a section where a void exists, calculation of the above integral must be amended to exclude the void, i.e.

$$
\int z^2 dz = \int_{-h_0/2}^{h_0/2} z^2 dz - \int_{-h_1/2}^{h_1/2} z^2 dz
$$
 (6)

in which  $h_0$  is the thickness of solid plates and  $h_1$  is the height of the void.  $h_1$  is a function of x and y. At all points in the region where the *i*, *j*th void exists, the relation  $h_1 = h_{i,j}$  is valid. Hence,  $h_1(x, y)$  can generally be expressed by

$$
h_1(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^{m^*} \sum_{j=1}^{n^*} h_{i,j} D(x - x_i) D(y - y_j)
$$
 (7)

in which  $\Sigma$  is the sum for the total number of voids in the plates,  $m^*$  and  $n^*$  indicate the final numbers of voids in position counting from  $i = 1$  and  $j = 1$ , respectively, and  $D(x - x_i)$ and  $D(y-y)$  are extended Dirac functions. The extended Dirac function  $D(x-x)$  is defined as a function where the Dirac function  $\delta(x - \xi)$  exists continuously in the x direction through the *i*, *j*th void, namely the region from  $x_i - b_{x_i}/2$  to  $x_i + b_{x_i}/2$ , in which  $\xi$  can take values continuously from  $x_i - b_{x_i}/2$  to  $x_i + b_{x_i}/2$ . Similarly,  $D(y - y_i)$  is a function where the Dirac function  $\delta(y - \eta)$  exists continuously in the y direction through the *i*, *j*th void, namely the region from  $y_j - b_{y, i}/2$  to  $y_j + b_{y, i}/2$ , in which  $\eta$  can take values continuously from  $y_i - b_{y_i}/2$  to  $y_i + b_{y_i}/2$ . Briefly, the extended Dirac function D is considered as the sum of the Dirac function  $\delta$  distributed continuously in a prescribed region. Hence

Static analyses of elastic plates with voids 183

$$
D(x - x_i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } x_i - \frac{b_{x_i, j}}{2} < x < x_i + \frac{b_{x_i, j}}{2} \\ 0 & \text{for all others} \end{cases}
$$
  

$$
D(y - y_i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } y_i - \frac{b_{x_i, j}}{2} < y < y_i + \frac{b_{x_i, j}}{2} \\ 0 & \text{for all others.} \end{cases}
$$
 (8)

Takabatake (1987. 1988) has demonstrated the effectiveness of the extended Dirac function for beam problems. The details and employment of the Dirac function are given in Mikusinski and Sikorski (1957) and Frýba (1972), respectively.

Now, substitution of eqn (7) into eqn (6) gives

$$
\int z^2 dz = \frac{1}{12} \left[ h_0^3 - \sum_{i=1}^{m^*} \sum_{j=1}^{n^*} (h_{i,j})^3 D(x - x_i) D(y - y_j) \right].
$$
 (9)

Hence eqn (5) may be written as

$$
M_x = -D_0 d(x, y)[w_{,xx} + vw_{,xx}]
$$
 (10)

in which  $D_0$  is the flexural rigidity of a solid plate neglecting voids and is given by  $Eh_0^3/12(1-v^2)$ , and  $d(x, y)$  is given by

$$
d(x, y) = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m^*} \sum_{j=1}^{n^*} \alpha_{ij} D(x - x_i) D(y - y_j)
$$
 (11)

in which  $\alpha_{ij}$  is defined as

$$
\mathbf{x}_{ij} = \left(\frac{h_{ij}}{h_0}\right)^i.
$$
 (12)

Similarly, the bending moment  $M<sub>x</sub>$  and twisting moment  $M<sub>xy</sub>$  can be written as

$$
M_v = -D_0 d(x, y)[w_{,xy} + vw_{,xc}]
$$
 (13)

$$
M_{xy} = -(1 - v)D_0 d(x, y) w_{xy}.
$$
 (14)

The curvature changes  $\kappa_x$ ,  $\kappa_y$  and  $\kappa_{xy}$  are defined as

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\kappa_{\rm v} &= -w_{\rm cv} \\
\kappa_{\rm v} &= -w_{\rm cv}\n\end{aligned}
$$
\n(15)

The theory including the transverse shear deformation will be easily derived by employing the curvature-displacement relations of the Mindlin plate theory or of a high-order deformational mode in place of eqn  $(15)$ . Hence, substituting eqns  $(10)$  and  $(13)-(15)$  into eqn (2), the strain energy *U* becomes

$$
U = \frac{D_0}{2} \int \int d(x, y) [(w_{xx})^2 + (w_{yy})^2 + 2vw_{xx}w_{yy} + 2(1 - v)(w_{xx})^2] dx dy.
$$
 (16)

Next, the potential energy  $V$  produced by the external lateral loads  $p$  becomes

$$
V = -\int \int \rho w \, dx \, dy. \tag{17}
$$

Neglecting the effect of rotatory inertia, the kinetic energy  $T$  is

$$
T = \frac{1}{2} \int \int \rho h(x, y) (\dot{w})^2 dx dy
$$
 (18)

in which the dot indicates differentiation with respect to time,  $\rho$  is the mass density of the plate with voids, and the notation  $h(x, y)$  is defined as

$$
h(x, y) = h_0 \left[ 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m^*} \sum_{j=1}^{n^*} h_{i,j} D(x - x_i) D(y - y_j) \right].
$$
 (19)

Substitution of eqns  $(16)$ - $(18)$  into eqn  $(1)$  yields

$$
\delta I = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left\{ \int \int F_1 \, \delta w \, dx \, dy + \int F_2 \, \delta w \Big|_0^{t_2} \, dy - \int F_3 \, \delta w_{xy} \Big|_0^{t_2} \, dy + \int F_4 \, \delta w \Big|_0^{t_2} \, dx - \int F_5 \, \delta w_{xy} \Big|_0^{t_2} \, dx - 2(1 - v) F_6 \, \delta w \Big|_0^{t_1} \Big|_0^{t_2} \right\} dt = 0 \quad (20)
$$

in which  $F_1-F_6$  are given by the LHS of eqns (21) and (22)  $\cdot$  (26)  $\cdot$ . Here I, and I<sub>v</sub> are the span lengths in the *x* and *y* directions of the plate, respectively.

From eqn (20). the differential equation of motion can be obtained

$$
\frac{\rho h(x, y)\ddot{w}}{D_0} + [d w_{,xx}]_{,xx} + [d w_{,yy}]_{,yy} + v[d w_{,yy}]_{,xx} + v[d w_{,xx}]_{,yy} + 2(1 - v)[d w_{,xy}]_{,xy} - \frac{p}{D_0} = 0
$$
\n(21)

together with the associated boundary conditions

$$
w = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad D_0[d w_{,xx}]_{,x} + v D_0[d w_{,yy}]_{,x} + 2(1-v)D_0[d w_{,xy}]_{,y} = 0 \tag{22}
$$

$$
w_{,x} = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad D_0[dw_{,xx} + vdw_{,yy}] = 0 \tag{23}
$$

at  $x = 0$  and  $l_x$ ; and

$$
w = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad D_0[d w_{,yy}]_{,y} + v D_0[d w_{,xx}]_{,y} + 2(1 - v)D_0[d w_{,xy}]_{,x} = 0 \tag{24}
$$

$$
w_{,y} = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad D_0[d w_{,yy} + v dw_{,xx}] = 0 \tag{25}
$$

at  $y = 0$  and  $l<sub>v</sub>$ ; and

$$
w = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad D_0 d w_{xy} = 0 \tag{26}
$$

at the comers.

For solid plates without voids,  $d(x, y)$  becomes 1 and the governing equations proposed here reduce to the general equations for rectangular solid plates.

### 3. STATIC ANALYSES TO RECTANGULAR PLATES WITH VOIDS

The governing equations for rectangular plates with voids have been proposed. Now consider the static solutions for simply-supported and clamped plates by means of the Galerkin method. The deflections  $w(x, y)$  can be expressed by a power-series expansion as follows:

$$
w(x, y) = \sum_{m=1}^{n} \sum_{n=1}^{n} w_{mn} f_{mn}(x, y)
$$
 (27)

in which the  $f_{mn}$  are shape functions satisfying the specified boundary conditions. The following functions represent  $f_{mn}$  for simply-supported and clamped plates:

$$
f_{mn}(x, y) = \sin \frac{m\pi x}{l_x} \sin \frac{n\pi y}{l_y}
$$
 for simply supported plates  

$$
f_{mn}(x, y) = \sin \frac{\pi x}{l_x} \sin \frac{m\pi x}{l_x} \sin \frac{\pi y}{l_x} \sin \frac{n\pi y}{l_y}
$$
 for clamped plates. (28)

The Galerkin equation for static problems can be written as

$$
\int_0^{t_c} \int_0^{t_c} Q \, \delta w \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}y = 0 \tag{29}
$$

in which  $Q$  is the equation neglecting the inertia term in eqn (21). Substituting eqn (27) into eqn (29), the Galerkin equations become

$$
\delta w_{\text{min}} \, ; \, \sum_{m \neq 1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} w_{\text{min}} \int_{0}^{t_{v}} \{ [df_{\text{min,vx}}]_{\text{cv}} + [df_{\text{min,vy}}]_{\text{cv}} + v [df_{\text{min,vv}}]_{\text{cv}} \} f_{\text{min}} \, dx \, dy
$$
\n
$$
+ v [df_{\text{min,vx}}]_{\text{cv}} + 2(1 - v) [df_{\text{min,vv}}]_{\text{cv}} \} f_{\text{min}} \, dx \, dy
$$
\n
$$
= \int_{0}^{t_{v}} \int_{0}^{t_{v}} \frac{P}{D_{0}} f_{\text{min}} \, dx \, dy. \tag{30}
$$

Then, the integral calculation including the extended Dirac function  $D(x-x_i)$  can be written as

$$
\int_0^{t_x} D(x - x_i) f(x) dx = \int_{x_i + (b_{i\alpha})/2}^{x_i + (b_{i\alpha})/2} [\delta(x - \xi) f(x) dx] d\xi = \int_{x_i + (b_{i\alpha})/2}^{x_i + (b_{i\alpha})/2} f(\xi) d\xi
$$
 (31)

in which  $\xi$  is a supplementary variable of x. Similarly,

$$
\int_0^{t_r} D(y - y_r) f(y) dy = \int_{v_r - (b_{n_r/2})}^{v_r + (b_{n_r/2})} f(\eta) d\eta
$$
\n(32)

in which  $\eta$  is a supplementary variable of y. The nth derivatives of the extended Dirac functions can therefore be expressed as

Н. ТАКЛВАГАКЕ

$$
\int_0^{t_i} D^{(n)}(x-x_i) f(x) dx = \int_{x=(b_{n+2}, 2)}^{x_i + (b_{n+2}, 2)} (-1)^n f^{(n)}(\xi) d\xi
$$
\n
$$
\int_0^{t_i} D^{(n)}(y-y_i) f(y) dy = \int_{x=(b_{n+2}, 2)}^{x_i + (b_{n+2}, 2)} (-1)^n f^{(n)}(\eta) d\eta
$$
\n(33)

in which superscripts enclosed within parentheses indicate the differential order.

When the conditions  $b_{v,j} \ll l_x$  and  $b_{v,j} \ll l_y$  are satisfied, the extended Dirac functions  $D(x-x_i)$  and  $D(y-y_i)$  are approximately related to the Dirac functions  $\delta(x-x_i)$  and  $\delta(y-y_i)$  by:

$$
D(x - x_i) = b_{x_i} \delta(x - x_i)
$$
  
\n
$$
D(y - y_i) = b_{x_i} \delta(y - y_i)
$$
 (34)

To simplify, assume the lateral loads  $p$  are a uniform load  $p<sub>0</sub>$ . Substituting eqn (28) into eqn (30), the Galerkin equations reduce to a system of linear algebraic equations with respect to the displacement coefficients  $w_{mn}$ , viz.

$$
\delta w_{\text{min}} \left( \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} w_{\text{min}} A_{\text{minim}} = B_{\text{min}}. \right) \tag{35}
$$

In the above system of linear algebraic equations the row is given by  $\bar{m}$  and  $\bar{n}$  and the column by  $m$  and  $n$ .

For simply-supported plates with voids,  $A_{minimum}$  and  $B_{mid}$  are given by

$$
A_{mmm} = \pi^{4} \left[ m^{2} + {n \choose \alpha}^{2} \right]^{2} \delta_{mm} \delta_{nn} - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{n} 4\pi^{4} \alpha_{ij} \left[ F_{xx}(m, \vec{m}; i) F_{yx}(n, \vec{n}; j) \right]
$$
  
\n
$$
\times \left[ m^{2} + {n \choose \alpha}^{2} \right]^{2} - 2m \left[ m^{2} + {n \choose \alpha}^{2} \right] [m F_{xx}(m, \vec{m}; i) - \vec{m} F_{xc}(m, \vec{m}; i) ] F_{yx}(n, \vec{n}; j)
$$
  
\n
$$
-2 \left[ {n \choose \alpha}^{4} + m^{2} \frac{n}{\alpha} \right] \left[ \frac{n}{\alpha} F_{yx}(n, \vec{n}; j) - \frac{\vec{n}}{\alpha} F_{xc}(n, \vec{n}; j) \right] F_{yx}(m, \vec{m}; i)
$$
  
\n
$$
+ \left[ m^{2} + v {n \choose \alpha}^{2} \right] \left\{ [m^{2} + \vec{m}^{2}] F_{xx}(m, \vec{m}; i) - 2m \vec{n} F_{xx}(m, \vec{m}; i) \right\} F_{xx}(n, \vec{n}; j)
$$
  
\n
$$
+ \left[ {n \choose \alpha}^{2} + \nu m^{2} \right] \left\{ \left[ {n \choose \alpha}^{2} + {(\vec{n}^{2})^{2}} \right] F_{xx}(n, \vec{n}; j) - 2 \frac{n}{\alpha} \frac{\vec{n}}{\alpha} F_{xx}(n, \vec{n}; j) \right\} F_{xx}(m, \vec{m}; i)
$$
  
\n
$$
+ 2(1 - \nu) m \frac{n}{\alpha} [m F_{xx}(m, \vec{m}; i) - \vec{m} F_{xx}(m, \vec{m}; i)]
$$
  
\n
$$
\times \left[ \frac{n}{\alpha} F_{xx}(n, \vec{n}; j) + \frac{\vec{n}}{\alpha} F_{xc}(n, \vec{n}; j) \right]
$$
  
\n
$$
B_{mi} = \begin{cases} \frac{16}{\vec{m} \cdot \vec{n}^{2}} \left[ P_{0} \right]^{4} & \text{for odd } \vec{m}, \vec{n} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
$$
(37

in which  $\delta_{mn}$  and  $\delta_{mi}$  are the Kronecker deltas, x is the ratio  $l_i, l_s$  of the span lengths, and

otherwise

the notations  $F_{xx}(m,\tilde{m};i)$  and  $F_{xx}(m,\tilde{m};i)$  are defined as

$$
\begin{split}\n\left\{F_{xx}(m,\vec{m};i)\right\} &= \frac{1}{l_x} \int_{x_i - (b_{xx}/2)}^{x_i + (b_{xx}/2)} \left\{ \frac{\sin\left(\frac{m\pi x}{l_x}\right) \sin\left(\frac{\vec{m}\pi x}{l_x}\right)}{1 - (\cos\left(\frac{m\pi x}{l_x}\right) \cos\left(\frac{\vec{m}\pi x}{l_x}\right)} \right\} dx \\
&= \frac{1}{(m - \vec{m})\pi} \cos\frac{(m - \vec{m})\pi x_i}{l_x} \sin\frac{(m - \vec{m})\pi b_{xx}}{2l_x} (1 - \delta_{min}) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{b_{xx}}{l_x}\right) \delta_{min} \\
&= \frac{1}{(m + \vec{m})\pi} \cos\frac{(m + \vec{m})\pi x_i}{l_x} \sin\frac{(m + \vec{m})\pi b_{xx}}{2l_x}.\n\end{split}
$$
\n(38)

The notations  $F_{\text{rec}}(n, \bar{n}$ ; j) and  $F_{\text{rec}}(n, \bar{n}$ ; j) are obtained by transforming  $m \to n$ ,  $\bar{m} \to \bar{n}$ ,  $x_i \rightarrow y_j$ ,  $b_{3i,j} \rightarrow b_{3i,j}$  and  $l_x \rightarrow l_y$  in eqn (38).

On the other hand, the expressions for  $A_{minimum}$  and  $B_{min}$  for clamped plates are

$$
A_{mmm} = \pi^{4} \left[ \alpha F_{mm}(4,0) F_{nn}(0,0) + \frac{2}{\alpha} F_{mm}(2,0) F_{nn}(2,0) + \left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right) F_{mm}(0,0) F_{nn}(4,0) \right]
$$
  
\n
$$
- \sum_{i=1} \sum_{j=1} \pi^{4} \alpha_{ij} \left[ \alpha F_{mm}(4,0;i) F_{nn}(0,0;j) + \frac{2}{\alpha} F_{mm}(2,0;i) F_{nn}(2,0;j) \right]
$$
  
\n
$$
+ \binom{1}{\alpha} F_{mm}(0,0;i) F_{nn}(4,0;j) - 2\alpha [F_{mm}(4,0;i) + F_{mm}(3,1;i)] F_{nn}(0,0;j)
$$
  
\n
$$
- 2 \binom{1}{\alpha} [F_{mm}(4,0;i) + F_{nn}(3,1;j)] F_{mm}(0,0;i)
$$
  
\n
$$
+ \alpha [F_{mm}(4,0;i) + 2F_{mm}(3,1;j)] F_{mm}(0,0;i)
$$
  
\n
$$
+ \binom{1}{\alpha} [F_{nn}(4,0;i) + 2F_{mm}(3,1;j) + F_{mm}(2,2;i)] F_{nn}(0,0;j)
$$
  
\n
$$
+ \binom{1}{\alpha} [F_{nn}(4,0;j) + 2F_{mm}(1,1;i)] F_{nn}(2,0;j) + [F_{nn}(0,0;i)
$$
  
\n
$$
- \frac{2}{\alpha} \{ [F_{mm}(2,0;i) + F_{mm}(1,1;i)] F_{nn}(2,0;j) + [F_{nn}(2,0;i) + F_{mm}(1,1;i)] [F_{nn}(2,0;j)
$$
  
\n
$$
+ F_{nn}(1,1;j)] F_{mm}(2,0;i) + \frac{2(1-\nu)}{\alpha} [F_{mm}(2,0;i) + F_{mm}(1,1;i)] [F_{nn}(2,0;j)
$$
  
\n
$$
+ [F_{nn}(2,0;j) + 2F_{nn}(1,1;j)] + F_{nn}(0,2;j)] F_{mm}(0,2;i)] F_{nn}(2,0;j)
$$
  
\n
$$
+ [F_{nn}(2,0;j) + 2F_{nn}(1,1;j)] + F_{nn}(0,2;j)] F_{mm}(2,0;i)
$$
  
\n(39)

$$
B_{\dot{m}\dot{n}} = \frac{1}{4}\delta_{\dot{m}1}\delta_{\dot{n}1}\alpha \left[\frac{p_0 I_s^4}{D_0}\right]
$$
\n(40)

in which  $F_{mn}(0,0)$ ,  $F_{mn}(2,0)$ , ...,  $F_{mn}(0,0; i)$ ... are expressed in general form by

Н. Такаватаке

$$
F_{min}(k_1, k_2) = (l_x)^{k_1 + k_2 - 1} \int_0^{l_x} f_{vm}^{(k_1)} f_{vm}^{(k_2)} dx
$$
  
\n
$$
F_{ni}(k_1, k_2) = (l_x)^{k_1 + k_2 - 1} \int_0^{l_x} f_{vm}^{(k_1)} f_{vm}^{(k_2)} dy
$$
  
\n
$$
F_{min}(k_1, k_2; i) = (l_x)^{k_1 + k_2 - 1} \int_0^{l_x} D(x - x_i) f_{vm}^{(k_1)} f_{vm}^{(k_2)} dx
$$
  
\n
$$
= (l_x)^{k_1 + k_2 - 1} \int_{x_i - (k_{0,i})}^{x_i + (k_{0,i})/2} f_{vm}^{(k_1)} (\xi) f_{vm}^{(k_2)} (\xi) d\xi
$$
  
\n
$$
F_{ni}(k_1, k_2; j) = (l_x)^{k_1 + k_2 - 1} \int_{x_i - (k_{0,i})/2}^{x_i + (k_{0,i})/2} f_{nn}^{(k_1)}(\eta) f_{nn}^{(k_2)}(\eta) d\eta
$$
 (1)

in which  $f_{cm}$  and  $f_{cm}$  are the x and y components of the shape function given in eqn (28). viz.

$$
f_{vm} = \sin \frac{\pi x}{l_x} \sin \frac{m\pi x}{l_x}
$$
  

$$
f_{vn} = \sin \frac{\pi y}{l_y} \sin \frac{n\pi y}{l_y}
$$
 (42)

Thus, solving eqn (35) for the unknown displacement coefficients  $w_{nm}$  and substituting them into eqn (27), the deflections w are obtained. The integrals involving the extended Dirac functions in eqns  $(36)$  and  $(39)$  have been rigorously calculated on the basis of eqns  $(31)$ and (32). However, if the width of each void is small compared with the corresponding span length, the integral calculation is rapidly simplified by the use of the relations given in eqn  $(34)$ . For example, eqns  $(38)$  and  $(41)$  are simplified as follows:

$$
F_{xx}(m, \tilde{m}; i) = b_{xx} \sin\left(\frac{m\pi x_i}{l_x}\right) \sin\left(\frac{\tilde{m}\pi x_i}{l_x}\right)
$$
  
\n
$$
F_{xz}(m, \tilde{m}; i) = b_{xx} \cos\left(\frac{m\pi x_i}{l_x}\right) \cos\left(\frac{\tilde{m}\pi x_i}{l_x}\right)
$$
  
\n
$$
F_{min}(k_1, k_2; i) = b_{xx} (l_x)^{k_1 + k_2 - 1} f_{xx}^{(k_1)}(x_i) f_{xx}^{(k_2)}(x_i)
$$
  
\n
$$
F_{mn}(k_1, k_2; j) = b_{xx} (l_x)^{k_1 + k_2 - 1} f_{xx}^{(k_1)}(y_i) f_{xx}^{(k_2)}(y_i)
$$
 (43)

Although the behavior of plates with voids is affected by all the terms of the square matrix  $A_{inning}$ , the behavior is now dominated by the diagonal terms in the matrix  $A_{inimm}$ . Hence, taking into consideration only the diagonal terms of  $A_{min,m}$ , eqn (35) becomes of uncoupled form. Thus the approximate solutions of  $w_{mn}$  are obtained as

$$
w_{mn} = \frac{B_{mn}}{A_{mmm}}.\tag{44}
$$

The bending moments  $M_x$  and  $M_y$  and twisting moment  $M_{xy}$  are given by substituting the deflections w into eqns (10), (13) and (14), respectively. The transverse shear forces  $Q_1$ , and  $Q<sub>r</sub>$  and vertical edge forces  $V<sub>x</sub>$  and  $V<sub>r</sub>$  per unit length are given by

Static analyses of elastic plates with voids

$$
Q_x = M_{x,x} + M_{xy,x}
$$
  
\n
$$
Q_y = M_{y,y} + M_{xy,x}
$$
\n(45)

$$
V_x = Q_x + M_{xy,y}
$$
  

$$
V_y = Q_y + M_{yx,y}
$$
 (46)

Here the differential  $M_{x,x}$  is calculated as

$$
M_{x,x} = -D_0\{d(x,y)_{,x}[w_{,xy} + vw_{,yy}]+d(x,y)[w_{,xx} + vw_{,yy}]\}.
$$
 (47)

From eqn (11), the differential of  $d(x, y)$  with respect to x is

$$
d(x, y)_{,x} = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{ij} D(x - x_i)_{,x} D(y - y_j). \tag{48}
$$

From Sinozaki *ct al.* (1983). the integration involving the differential of the Dirac function is expressed by

$$
\int \delta(x - x_i)_{,x} f(x) dx = - \int \delta(x - x_i) f(x)_{,x} dx.
$$
 (49)

DilTerentiating the above equation with respect to *x* yields

$$
\delta(x - x_i)_{,x} f(x) = -\delta(x - x_i) f(x)_{,x}.
$$
\n(50)

For the extended Dirac function eqn (50) may be extended as

$$
D(x-x_i)_{,x}f(x) = -D(x-x_i)f(x)_{,x}.
$$
 (51)

Similarly.

$$
D(y - y_j)_{,x} f(y) = -D(y - y_j) f(y)_{,x}.
$$
 (52)

The substitution of eqns  $(11)$ ,  $(48)$  and  $(51)$  into eqn  $(47)$  results in

$$
M_{x,x} = -D_0[w_{,xxx} + vw_{,xyx}].
$$
 (53)

The result is not affected directly by the extended Dirac functions. Similarly.

$$
M_{y,y} = -D_0[w_{,yy} + vw_{,xxy}]
$$
  
\n
$$
M_{xy,x} = -(1-v)D_0w_{,xxy}
$$
  
\n
$$
M_{xy,y} = -(1-v)D_0w_{,xyy}
$$
\n(54)

Thus the transverse shear forces  $Q_x$  and  $Q_y$  and the vertical edge forces  $V_x$  and  $V_y$  become

TYPE	<b>PLANE</b>	<b>SECTION</b>	$n_{\rm LLL}$ $\bar{h}_0$	l x	$ D_{x+1}   D_{x+1}   A= X $ l <sub>y</sub>	$l_{x}$
1	$-\ell_{x}$ $\rightarrow$ $\mathbf{x}$ $\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}$ yŧ	$\mathsf{h}_{\mathsf{H}}$ $r_0 = \frac{1}{2}$ $b_{x1,j}$	0 <sub>5</sub>	01	05	10
$\overline{z}$	۔ م y₩	$\mathsf{h}_{\mathsf{rf}}$ $\mathbb{P}_0$ $\mathbb{E}$ ( 00000) $\mathbb{E}$ $b_{x1,i}$	0 <sub>5</sub>	0 <sub>1</sub>	10	1 O
3	<b>BOOD</b> $L_{\nu}$ a e o a e 00080 00000 00000	ייל $\leftarrow \ell_{\mathbf{x}} \rightarrow \mathbf{y}$ $n$ $\Gamma$ $\boxed{00000}$ $b_{x1,i}$	0 <sub>5</sub>	0 <sub>1</sub>	0 <sub>1</sub>	10

Table 1. Lists of isotropic rectangular plates with voids

$$
Q_x = -D_0[w_{\text{av}} + w_{\text{av}}]
$$
  
\n
$$
Q_y = -D_0[w_{\text{av}} + w_{\text{av}}]
$$
  
\n
$$
V_y = -D_0[w_{\text{av}} + 2w_{\text{av}} - vw_{\text{av}}]
$$
  
\n
$$
V_y = -D_0[w_{\text{av}} + 2w_{\text{av}} - vw_{\text{av}}]
$$
  
\n(55)

## 4. NUMERICAL RESULTS

Static solutions for simply-supported and clamped plates with voids have been presented by means of the Galerkin method. All external terms  $B_{mn}$  given in eqns (37) and (40) have the same dimension,  $p_0 l_x^4/D_0$ . Hence the displacements w, stress couples  $M_x$ ,  $M_y$  and  $M_{vr}$  and stress resultants  $Q_x$ ,  $Q_y$ ,  $V_x$  and  $V_y$  can be expressed in nondimensional forms by taking  $p_0 l_x^4 / D_0$ ,  $p_0 l_x^2$  and  $p_0 l_x$  as the units, respectively.



Fig. 3,  $w$  and  $M$ , for a simply-supported plate with voids.



Fig. 4.  $w$  and  $M<sub>v</sub>$  for a simply-supported plate with voids.

Then, in order to examine the proposed solutions, numerical calculations are carried out for three cases as shown in Table 1, in which Poisson's ratio is 0.17. Figures 3–5 and 6-8 show the deflections and bending moments  $M<sub>v</sub>$  for the three cases of simply-supported and clamped plates with voids, respectively. Numerical results show that, in practice, the differences between the rigorous solutions based on eqn (35) and the approximate solutions based on eqn (44) are negligible. The results obtained from the Galerkin method show good agreement with the results obtained from the finite element method. The finite element method used here is based on isotropic and rectangular plate elements due to Adini-Clough -Melosh, as given by Rao (1982) and Ugural (1981), in which an element with voids includes the effect of the voids, and is independent of FEM-based on equivalent orthotropic plate theory as given by Hinton and Owen (1984). In addition, the numerical results obtained from the equivalent plate analogy by Crisfield and Twemlow (1971) are close to the numerical results of the Galerkin method. However, it is clear that the equivalent plate analogy cannot give good results for all cases and that, especially, the values obtained for



Fig. 5.  $w$  and  $M<sub>v</sub>$  for a simply-supported plate with voids.



Fig. 6.  $w$  and  $M$ , for a clamped plate with voids.

the bending moments  $M<sub>r</sub>$  indicate mean values including the effect of the local rigidity due to voids. This point must be taken into consideration in designs using the equivalent plate analogy.

# 5. RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN THEORETICAL AND EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

In order to experimentally examine the theory proposed here, static experiments for acrylic plates with voids were carried out for simply-supported and clamped plates. The experimental equipment is shown, in outline, in Fig. 9, in which the span lengths  $l_x = l_y = 30$ cm (11.8 in.). Although the positions of the voids in the specimens are the same as the voided plates shown in Table 1, used in the numerical calculations mentioned above, the thickness and the ratios of void size,  $h_{i,j}/h_0$ ,  $b_{v,i,j}/l_x$  and  $b_{v,i,j}/l_y$ , take the following values:



Fig. 7.  $w$  and  $M<sub>v</sub>$  for a clamped plate with voids.



Fig. 8.  $w$  and  $M$ , for a clamped plate with voids.

Type  $0: h_0 = 0.6$  cm (0.236 in.)

Type 1: 
$$
h_0 = 0.6
$$
 cm,  $h_{i,j}/h_0 = 0.33$ ,  $h_{\alpha,j}/l_x = 0.1$ ,  $h_{\alpha,j}/l_x = 0.5$   
Type 2:  $h_0 = 0.6$  cm,  $h_{i,j}/h_0 = 0.33$ ,  $h_{\alpha,j}/l_x = 0.1$ ,  $h_{\alpha,j}/l_x = 1.0$   
Type 3:  $h_0 = 0.6$  cm,  $h_{i,j}/h_0 = 0.33$ ,  $h_{\alpha,j}/l_x = 0.1$ ,  $h_{\alpha,j}/l_x = 0.1$ .

The Young's modulus and Poisson's ratio of the acrylic plates used are  $E = 32,700$  kgf cm  $^{-2}$  (46.5 x 10<sup>4</sup> lb in.<sup>-2</sup>) and  $v = 0.34$ , respectively. In order to examine the experimental equipment used, experiments for plates without voids, called Type 0, were carried out, and the experimental results showed good agreement with the theoretical results, as shown in Fig. 10. The relationships between the deflections at the midpoint of the specimens and the lateral uniform load per unit area are shown in Figs 11-13. It follows from these figures that the theory proposed here shows strong agreement in the linear region. Thus, it is shown that the theory proposed here can be applied practically to plates with voids.



Fig. 9. Outline of the cxpcrimcntal equipment.



Fig. 10. Relationship between the lateral load and deflection for Type 0 (normal plate).



Fig. 11. Relationship between the lateral load and deflection for Type 1.



Fig. 12. Relationship between the lateral load and deflection for Type 2.



Fig. 13. Relationship between the lateral load and deflection for Type 3.

#### Н. ТАКАВАТАКЕ

#### **6. CONCLUSIONS**

A general analytical method for isotropic rectangular plates with arbitrarily-positioned voids has been proposed by means of an extended Dirac function. The static solutions for simply-supported and clamped plates with voids were presented by means of the Galerkin method. The exactness of the proposed solutions was demonstrated by comparing the numerical results with the results of the finite element method, the results of equivalent plate analogy and the experimental results.

For the sake of simplicity, this paper disregards the transverse shear deformation and the local deformation of the top and bottom platelets of the void. When the cross-section or number of voids becomes large, it will be necessary to consider these deformations. The transverse shear deformation is considered by replacing the Kirchhoff–Love hypotheses with Mindlin's plate theory (Hinton and Owen, 1984). The local deformation of the top and bottom platelets of the void can be considered by using the frame theory. However, in practice, occurrence of the local deformation should be restricted.

Each void was assumed to be a rectangular parallelepiped for simplicity's sake, but it is relatively easy to extend the proposed theory to a void with circular or symmetric crosssection.

Acknowledgements—The author would like to express his appreciation to Lecturer Paul T. Hobelman of Chiang Mai University and Associate professor T. Matsumoto of Kanazawa Institute of Technology for their careful reading of, and effective suggestions for, this manuscript.

#### **REFERENCES**

- Cope, R. J. and Clark, L. A. (1984). Concrete Slabs Analysis and Design. Elsevier Applied Science, London.
- Cope, R. J., Harris, G. and Sawko, F. (1973). A new approach to the analysis of cellular bridge decks. Analysis of Structural Systems for Torsion, ACI, Sp 35-5, 185-210.
- Cristield, M. A. and Twemlow, R. P. (1971). The equivalent plate approach for the analysis of cellular structures. Civil Engineering and Public Works Review, March, pp. 259-263.
- Elliott, G. (1978). Partial loading on orthotropic plates. Cement and Concrete Association Technical Report 42, p. 519, London.
- Elliott, G. and Clark, L. A. (1982). Circular voided concrete slab stiffness. J. Struct. Div. ASCE 108(11), 2379 2393.
- Frýba, L. (1972). Vibration of Solids and Structures under Moving Loads. Noordhoff, Groningen.
- Hinton, E. and Owen, D. R. J. (1984). Finite Element Software for Plates and Shells. Pineridge Press, Swansea, U.K.
- Holmberg, A. (1960). Shear-weak Beams on Elastic Foundation, Vol. 10. International Association for Bridge and Structural Engineering (IABSE), Zurich.
- Mikusinski, J. and Sikorski, R. (1957). The elementary theory of distributions, I. Rozper. Mat. 12, 1-54.
- Mindlin, R. D. (1951). Influence of rotatory inertia and shear on flexural motions of isotropic, elastic plates. J. Applied Mech. ASME 18, 31-38.
- Rao, S. S. (1982). The Finite Element Method in Engineering. Pergamon Press, Oxford.
- Sawko, F. and Cope, R. J. (1969). Analysis of multi-cell bridges without transverse diaphragms -- a finite element approach. The Structural Engr 47(11), 455-460.
- Sinozaki, H., Matsumori, T. and Matsuura, T. (1983). Introduction to the Delta Function (in Japanese). Gendaikougakusha, Tokyo.
- Szilard, R. (1974). Theory and Analysis of Plates. Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey.
- Takabatake, H. (1987). Bending and torsional analyses of tube systems (in Japanese). Proc. Symp. on Computational Methods in Structural Engineering and Related Fields (JSSC), Vol. 11, pp. 205-210.
- Takabatake, H. (1988). Lateral buckling of I beams with web stiffeners and batten plates. Int. J. Solids Structures 24(10), 1003-1019.
- Ugural, A. C. (1981). Stresses in Plates and Shells. McGraw-Hill, New York.